Adis © 2012 Springer International Publishing AG. All rights reserved.

Novel Adverse Events of Bevacizumab in the US FDA Adverse Event Reporting System Database

A Disproportionality Analysis

Behrooz K. Shamloo,¹ Pankdeep Chhabra,² Andrew N. Freedman,³ Arnold Potosky,⁴ Jennifer Malin⁵ and Sheila Weiss Smith²

- 1 University of Nevada School of Medicine Nevada Cancer Institute, Las Vegas, NV, USA
- 2 Center for Drug Safety, University of Maryland School of Pharmacy, Baltimore, MD, USA
- 3 National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA
- 4 Department of Oncology, Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Washington, DC, USA
- 5 VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

Background: Bevacizumab is the first in its class, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibitor that was initially approved by the US FDA in 2004 for the treatment of metastatic colon cancer and other solid tumors. Preapproval clinical trials, particularly for oncology drugs, are limited in their ability to detect certain adverse effects and, therefore, the FDA and pharmaceutical sponsors collect and monitor reports of adverse events (AEs) following approval.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to screen the FDA's Adverse Event Reporting System (AERS) database for novel AEs that may be attributed to bevacizumab.

Methods: The FDA AERS database was used to identify all AE reports for bevacizumab from February 2004 to September 2009. Disproportionality analysis was conducted for bevacizumab against all other drugs in the background by setting statistical significance at proportional reporting ratio $(PRR) \ge 2$, observed case count ≥ 3 and chi-square ≥ 4 . Subsequent clinical evaluation was performed to determine the clinical relevance of the findings and to group related events.

Results: A total of 523 Preferred Terms (PTs) were disproportionally reported; following clinical review 63 (12%) were found to be both unlabelled and of clinical importance. These PTs were grouped into 15 clinical disorder groups. Among the clinical disorders, electrolyte abnormalities had the greatest number of reports (n=426) followed by cardiovascular events (n=421), gastrointestinal events (n=345), nervous system disorders (n=106) and pneumonitis (n=96). On sensitivity analysis, a number of clinically important

unlabelled disorders, such as necrotizing fasciitis, vessel wall disorders, arrhythmia and conduction disorder and autoimmune thrombocytopenia still met the statistical significance criteria.

Conclusions: During the study period, out of 12 010 AE reports mentioning bevacizumab, it was listed as the suspect drug in 94.2% of the reports. Our disproportionality analysis identified many events that are already recognized as AEs of bevacizumab, but it also identified a number of clinically important unlabelled terms, which if confirmed in future studies would have potential implications for use of bevacizumab in clinical practice.

Background

Bevacizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). VEGF is essential for the induction, maintenance and growth of vascular endothelial cells (angiogenesis) and therefore plays an essential role in normal tissue and cancer growth. The first drug in this class on the US market, bevacizumab (Avastin®, Genentech/Roche, South San Francisco, CA, USA) was initially approved by the US FDA in February 2004 for use in metastatic colorectal cancer in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents. It was also approved as a targeted therapy for a number of cancers alone or in combination with other chemotherapeutic drugs.

Bevacizumab has extended survival for certain cancer patients; however, it is associated with a number of serious adverse events (AEs). While some of the AEs were identified during the premarketing and preapproval clinical trials, there are significant and well documented limitations in the ability of premarketing studies to elucidate the full spectrum of potential harms. Small numbers of patients exposed to the study drug, a narrowly defined indication, restrictive eligibility criteria and the limited length of exposure in premarketing clinical trials limit the ability to detect rare adverse reactions. Therefore, it is expected that new AEs will be identified when the drug is used by a larger group of patients. Indeed, after approval there have been published reports of bevacizumab-associated AEs in the medical literature, including myocardial infarction, stroke, pulmonary hypertension and polyserositis.^[1] These newly identified AEs were added to the product labelling (product package insert) in a postmarketing revision.^[1]

There are now 7 years of postmarketing experience with bevacizumab. Since first approved it has seen widespread usage in a variety of cancers, as well as off-label usage in age-related macular degeneration.^[2,3] Based on the results of an FDA pilot programme to evaluate postmarketing safety, which found previously unrecognized AEs for the five molecular drugs studied (duloxetine, ranolazine, apomorphine, aripiprazole and rasagiline), there may be AEs related to bevacizumab that are yet to be identified. [4] One method used in the discovery of novel and potentially drugrelated AEs is data mining. Data mining is a term used to describe a variety of analytic techniques used to find anomalies or statistical deviations from what is 'expected' within large databases. In this study, we conducted a data mining analysis of the FDA database of AE reports (Adverse Event Reporting System [AERS]) for the drug bevacizumab. Here we present the results of this analysis, which identified a number of clinically relevant AEs that are statistically associated with bevacizumab AE reports.

Methods

Data Source

This study utilized the AERS database of AE reports. Since the 1960s, the FDA has maintained a passive surveillance system to detect problems with drugs and other regulated products. Reports

of AEs that the reporter thought related to the use of a pharmaceutical product are reviewed, coded, and accumulated into an electronic database. This passive surveillance programme functions as an early-warning system for the detection of serious AEs not identified during premarketing testing.

Our study was based on all reports for bevacizumab received by the FDA from February 2004, when bevacizumab was first approved in the US, through September 2009 and released in the publically-available version of AERS. This version of AERS is stripped of potentially identifying information, including medical notes or narratives. Original and follow-up reports of the same event were counted as single case.

Study Variables

Information contained within an AERS report includes the name of one or more suspect drugs (those suspected by the reporter of causing, or being causally related to, an AE), concomitant drugs, patient characteristics (age and sex) and the outcome. Reporters can check any number of patient outcomes from a list that includes death, hospitalization, life-threatening, disability, congenital anomaly and other serious outcomes. An unlimited number of AEs can be reported in a single report. Each event is coded using the standardized terminology of the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA®).^[5] Similar to the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) coding scheme, MedDRA® is a multilevel, hierarchical coding scheme that was developed specifically for AEs. The highest level is the system organ class (SOC), which usually identifies the physiological or anatomical system affected (e.g. cardiovascular system), but also includes a number of categories (i.e. investigations, general and administration site disorders, injuries and poisonings, social circumstance, and surgical and medical procedures) not associated with a single organ system. The lowest evaluable level is the preferred term (PT).

Each of the more than 19000 PTs identifies a single medical concept. While having a large number of PTs reduces the amount of interpretation needed to code reports upon entry, it does result in a highly granular dictionary. For example, blood pressure abnormal, increased or decreased are three separate unique PTs. Data mining analysis was initially conducted at the level of the PT to generate a list of statistically significant PTs. All significant PTs were then grouped into clinically relevant categories (described below in the Clinical Review section) for further analysis and interpretation.

Statistical Analysis

The frequency of each PT, as reported for bevacizumab, was compared with an expected or background frequency for that PT by calculating a Proportional Reporting Ratio (PRR). PRRs are calculated using the same formula as relative risk, but are a comparison of reporting rates and not incidence or prevalence. The expected or background reporting rate was calculated as the frequency of that same PT among all AERS reports received during the study period. The PRRs and associated Chi-square test statistics were calculated using QScan® software (DrugLogic®, Inc., Reston, VA, USA).

The threshold for statistical significance was predefined as a PRR of ≥2.0 with a Chi-squared test statistic of ≥4.0 and at least three reports $(n \ge 3)$ of that PT with bevacizumab listed as the suspect or a concomitant drug. Any PT that met all three of these criteria was considered disproportionally reported for bevacizumab at a higher rate than expected.^[6] Some PTs might be reported at high rates with bevacizumab when they are actually associated with the underlying disease and not the drug. To check for this potential source of bias, a sensitivity analysis was conducted by recalculating the data mining statistics against the background of reports that listed one or more chemotherapy drugs commonly used to treat metastatic colorectal cancer.

Clinical Review

The disproportionately reported PTs identified by data mining analyses were then evaluated

Bowel obstruction Duodenal obstruction Obstruction 20.88 5 0.42 Small intestinal obstruction 15.56 14.3 12.07 Gastrointestinal obstruction 17.7 15.6 12.9 Intestinal obstruction 7.7 15.6 12.98 Intestinal obstruction 7.7 15.6 12.98 Intestinal obstruction 15.2 15.0 0.75 Large intestinal obstruction 15.2 15.0 12.5 Hepatic actrophy 3.05 3.0 2.5 Hepatic actrophy 3.05 3.0 2.5 Hepatic ischeamia 8.9 3.0 2.5 Hepatic ischeamia 8.9 3.0 2.5 Portal hypertension 6.1 2.0 3.0 Cardiomyopathy 2.4 4.0 4.08 Disabilior dysfunction 2.0 8.0 5.0 4.0 Cardiomyopathy Availung augustruction 2.6 8.0 5.0 6.0 Sins school of arriythmia augustruction 2.0
Small intestinal obstruction 15.95 143 1 Obstruction gastric 14.31 16 1 Gastrointestinal obstruction 8.7 9 1 Intestinal obstruction 7.7 156 1 Large intestinal obstruction 8.6 6 6 Colonic obstruction 13.1 5 15 Hyperbilinulpinaemia 3.25 30 3 Hepatic carrophy 3.25 30 3 Hepatic schaemia 8.9 3 4 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 3 Hepatic ischaemia 8.9 3 4 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 6 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 9 Diastolic dysfunction 2.66 8 10 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 6 Nodal arriythmia Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.4 4 Sinus tachycardia 2.7 7 Antic
Obstruction gastric 14.31 16 Gastrointestinal obstruction 8.7 156 1 Intestinal obstruction 7.7 156 1 Intussusception 8.6 6 6 Colonic obstruction 15.2 15 15 Large intestinal obstruction 18.6 6 6 Hyperbilirubinaemia 3.25 30 14 Hepatic atrophy 3.25 3 4 Hepatic ischaemia 8.9 3 4 Portal hypertension 3.25 3.8 4 Portal hypertension 3.24 4 4 Cardiomyopathy 3.24 4 4 Cardiomyopathy 3.24 4 4 Cardiomyopathy 3.26 10 8 Ventricular dysfunction 2.65 2.6 10 Ventricular dysfunction 3.15 4 4 Sinus tachycardia 2.4 2 4 Supraventricular tachycardia 2.1
Gastrointestinal obstruction 8.7 9 Intestinal obstruction 7.7 156 1 Intussusception 8.6 6 6 Colonic obstruction 15.2 15 15 Large intestinal obstruction 13.1 5 15 Hyperbilirubinaemia 3.25 30 3 3 Hepatic atrophy 3.05 2.18 32 3 4
Intestinal obstruction 7.7 156 Intussusception 8.6 6 Colonic obstruction 15.2 15 Large intestinal obstruction 13.1 5 Hyperbilirubinaemia 3.25 30 Hepatic atrophy 3.05 3 Hepatic ischaemia 2.18 32 Portal hypertension 2.18 32 S Stress cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.05 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 2.05 8 Ventricular dysfunction 2.05 8 Volaticular dysfunction 2.05 6 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.11 44 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 3.15 41 Antic disorder 4.11 5 Anctic disorder </th
Colonic obstruction 15.2 15 Colonic obstruction 15.2 15 Large intestinal obstruction 13.1 5 Hyperbilirubinaemia 3.25 30 Hepatic cirrhosis 2.18 3.25 Hepatic ischaemia 8.9 3.05 Portal hypertension 2.18 3.2 Stress cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.65 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.1 4 Sinus tachycardia 2.1 4 Supraventricular tachycardia 3.15 4 Andic disorder 4.11 5 Andic disorder 4.11 5 Andic disorder
Colonic obstruction 15.2 15 Large intestinal obstruction 13.1 5 Hyperbilirubinaemia 3.25 30 Hepatic cirrhosis 3.05 3 Hepatic cirrhosis 2.18 3.25 Hepatic schaemia 8.9 3 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 Stress cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.25 6 Nodal arrhythmia 3.25 6 Nodal arrhythmia supraventricular 2.69 2.4 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.67 17 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Aneurysm ruptured 7.31 7 Aortic dissection
Large intestinal obstruction 13.1 5 Hyperbilirubinaemia 3.25 30 Hepatic circhosis 3.05 3 Hepatic circhosis 2.18 3.2 Hepatic schaemia 8.9 3 Hepatic sichaemia 8.9 3 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 Residency artiformy opathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.65 8 Let ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Let ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.25 6 Nodal arrhythmia 3.25 6 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.1 44 Sinus tachycardia 2.1 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Aneurysm ruptured 7.31 7 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Adortic disocder 6.07 7 Adortic death 3.13 4 </th
Hyperbilirubinaemia 3.25 30 Hepatic atrophy 3.05 3 Hepatic cirrhosis 2.18 3.25 Hepatic ischaemia 8.9 3 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 Stress cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.65 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Ventricular dysfunction 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 55 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.1 44 Sinus tachycardia Antic disorder 4.11 5 Antic disorder 4.11 5 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Aortic dissection 8.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13 Sudden cardiac death 2.66 17 Sudden cardiac
Hepatic atrophy 3.05 3 Hepatic cirrhosis 2.18 32 Hepatic ischaemia 8.9 3 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 Stress cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.05 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 2.05 8 Ventricular dysfunction 2.16 26 Ventricular dysfunction 3.2 5 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 5 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.1 44 Sinus tachycardia 3.15 41 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aortic dissection 2.3 7 Aortic dissection 2.67 7 Aortic death
Hepatic cirrhosis 2.18 32 Hepatic ischaemia 8.9 3 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 Stress cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.05 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 8.16 26 Ventricular dysfunction 8.16 26 Ventricular dysfunction 3.25 6 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.1 44 Sinus tachycardia 2.1 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Actic disorder 4.11 5 Actic disorder 7.31 7 Actic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.66 7 Sudden cardiac death 2.83 13
Hepatic ischaemia 8.9 3 Portal hypertension 6.61 22 Stress cardiomyopathy 3.34 4 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.65 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 8.16 26 Ventricular dysfunction 2.65 8 Ejection fraction decreased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 55 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.1 44 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Actic disorder 4.11 5 Actic disorder 7.31 7 Actic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.66 7 Sudden cardiac death 2.66 7 Sudden cardiac death 3.13 </th
s Stress cardiomyopathy 6.61 22 Stress cardiomyopathy 3.34 4 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.05 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 2.05 8 Ventricular dysfunction 2.05 8 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.25 6 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.11 44 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Actic disorder 4.11 5 Actic disorder 7.31 7 Actic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.67 7 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
stress cardiomyopathy 3.34 4 Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.05 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 2.05 8 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Ejection fraction decreased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.11 44 Sinus tachycardia 3.15 41 Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aortic dissection 7.31 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Cardiomyopathy 2.46 49 Diastolic dysfunction 2.05 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 8.16 26 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.11 44 Sinus tachycardia 3.15 41 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Acottic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 6.07 7 Actic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Diastolic dysfunction 2.05 8 Left ventricular dysfunction 8.16 26 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular achicardia 2.11 44 Sinus tachycardia 6.04 3 Abortic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Left ventricular dysfunction 8.16 26 Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 3.25 6 Tachyarrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.17 44 Sinus tachycardia 6.04 3 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Actic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 6.07 7 Actic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Ventricular dysfunction 2.69 25 Ejection fraction decreased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 3.25 6 Tachyarrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular tachycardia 2.11 44 Sinus tachycardia 3.15 41 Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Anotic disorder 4.11 5 Anotic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Ejection fraction decreased 3.2 55 Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 2.1 7.67 17 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Actic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 6.04 3 Aortic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Brain natriuretic peptide increased 3.05 10 Nodal arrhythmia 3.25 6 Tachyarrhythmia supraventricular 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 7.67 17 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 6.04 3 Aportic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Nodal arrhythmia 3.25 6 Tachyarrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 7.67 17 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 3.15 41 Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 7.31 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Tachyarrhythmia 2.4 9 Arrhythmia supraventricular 7.67 17 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 3.15 41 Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aortic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Aortic death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Arrhythmia supraventricular 7.67 17 Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 3.15 41 Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Sudden cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Sinus tachycardia 2.11 44 Supraventricular tachycardia 3.15 41 Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 7.31 7 Aortic dissection 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Supraventricular tachycardia 3.15 41 Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Electrocardiogram QRS complex abnormal 6.04 3 Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm ruptured 7.31 7 Aortic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Aortic disorder 4.11 5 Aneurysm rupture 7.31 7 Aortic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Aneurysm ruptured 7.31 7 Aortic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Aortic aneurysm rupture 6.07 7 Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Aortic dissection 8.25 18 Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
Cardiac death 3.13 4 Sudden cardiac death 2.68 13
2.68 13
Sudden death 3.63 81 6.75
Pulse absent 2 20 1.67
70

Table I. Contd

			Devacizumad	bevacizumab in AERS	IN AERS	all drugs in AERS
Capillary leak syndrome	Capillary leak syndrome	6.23	11	0.92	212	0.16
Necrotizing fasciitis	Necrotizing fasciitis	8.61	22	1.83	292	0.22
Urinary disorders	Glomerulonephritis	28.99	7	0.58	279	0.21
	Bladder perforation	2.77	6	0.75	42	0.03
Electrolyte abnormalities	Hypophosphataemia	3.55	16	1.33	487	0.36
	Hypomagnesaemia	5.57	48	4.08	296	0.72
	Hypokalaemia	2.89	131	10.91	4894	3.62
	Hypocalcaemia	2.07	29	2.41	1490	1.10
	Hypernatraemia	2.33	11	0.92	499	0.37
	Electrolyte imbalance	2.34	24	2.00	1120	0.83
	Blood sodium increased	2.35	10	0.83	471	0.35
	Blood sodium decreased	3.99	107	8.91	2960	2.19
	Blood potassium decreased	3.24	102	8.58	3504	2.59
	Blood phosphorus decreased	3.80	12	1.00	340	0.25
	Blood magnesium increased	2.77	4	0.33	163	0.12
	Blood magnesium decreased	2.50	15	1.25	647	0.48
	Blood calcium decreased	3.80	47	3.91	1347	1.00
Autoimmune	Thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura	4.24	27	2.25	029	0.50
thrombocytopenia	Haemolytic uraemic syndrome	4.23	18	1.50	471	0.35
	Autoimmune thrombocytopenia	3.17	22	0.42	172	0.13
Thrombocyte count abnormality	Platelet count increased	2.70	35	2.91	1508	1.12
Neurological disorders	Neurodegenerative disorder	7.86	က	0.25	46	0.03
	Intracranial hypotension	10.98	19	1.58	196	0.14
	Encephalopathy	2.35	59	4.91	2702	2.00
	Cholinergic syndrome	5.05	က	0.25	99	0.05
	Peripheral motor neuropathy	5.40	80	99.0	157	0.12
	Peripheral sensory neuropathy	4.09	17	1.42	455	0.34
Adrenal disorder	Adrenal disorder	4.28	2	0.42	138	0.10
Pneumonitis	Interstitial lung disease	2.21	96	7.99	4355	3.22

PTs in bold were statistically significant on sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis was conducted by specifying the background to chemotherapy drugs used to treat colorectal cancer only.

One case report for bevacizumab can have more than one reaction mentioned, e.g. the bowel obstruction clinical disorder group has a total 355 reactions (PTs) mentioned in 345 case reports. AERS= Adverse Event Reporting System; PRR= proportional reporting ratio; PTs= Preferred Terms q

by two clinicians to exclude events previously associated with bevacizumab.[1] PTs representing diseases and medical disorders that are known to be clinically attributed to the underlying cancer were identified and excluded. Previously identified AEs of bevacizumab that were noted in the 'warning and precaution', 'adverse reaction' and 'postmarketing' sections of the product label^[1] (July 2009) were also excluded. For example, gastrointestinal fistulae (n = 81; PRR = 112.7), hypertensive crisis (n = 22; PRR = 17.8) and proteinuria (n = 158; PRR = 11.1). This resulted in 103 remaining PTs. We further excluded 37 PTs (35.6%) describing ocular disorders. Based on the mode of administration (intraocular injection), these were most likely related to the off-label use of bevacizumab in the treatment of age-related macular degeneration.[3,7]

The remaining PTs were accumulated into 15 clinical disorders. Each clinical disorder consisted of one or more PTs which describe a similar medical condition or event. For example, all reports that mentioned any of seven related cardiovascular PTs ('stress cardiomyopathy', 'cardiomyopathy', 'left ventricular dysfunction', 'ventricular dysfunction', 'diastolic dysfunction', 'ejection fraction decreased' and 'brain natriuretic peptide increased') were combined together into the clinical disorder cardiomyopathic disorders (table I). This step eliminated the double-counting that would otherwise occur when several closely related PTs are reported in a single AE report. Descriptive statistics were calculated using QScan® software and Excel® (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA).[8]

Results

The total number of AE reports in AERS that mentioned the drug bevacizumab and were received by the FDA from February 2004 through September 2009 was 12 010 (table II). Bevacizumab was reported as the suspect drug in 11 312 (94.2%) cases. Reports were evenly distributed by sex, with 5526 (46.0%) females and 5397 (44.9%) males. The remainder (n=1087, 9.1%) did not have sex specified. The highest numbers of reports were in the age group 51–75 years (n=3984)

Table II. Characteristics of adverse event reports that mentioned bevacizumab, FDA Adverse Event Reporting System 2004–9

evacizumas, i BA Adverse Event neporting dystem 2004–3					
Characteristics	Reports [N (%)]				
Total reports	12 010 (100.0)				
Bevacizumab as suspect drug	11 312 (94.2)				
Patient sex					
Male	5397 (44.9)				
Female	5526 (46.0)				
Unknown/missing	1087 (9.1)				
Patient age group [y]					
<16	21 (0.2)				
16–30	87 (0.7)				
31–50	1032 (8.6)				
51–75	3984 (33.2)				
>75	750 (6.2)				
Unknown/missing	6136 (51.1)				
Patient outcome					
Serious ^{a,b}	8202 (68.3)				
Non-serious	3503 (29.2)				
Report type					
Direct	1480 (12.3)				
Expedited	8739 (72.8)				
Periodic	1791 (14.9)				
Commonly reported drugs with bevacizumab					
Oxaliplatin	3256 (27.1)				
Fluorouracil	3086 (25.7)				
Leucovorin calcium	2160 (17.9)				
Capecitabine	1692 (14.1)				
Irinotecan hydrochloride	1385 (11.5)				

- a Data not reported for some cases.
- b Serious: death, hospitalization (initial or prolonged), lifethreatening condition, required intervention to prevent permanent damage, congenital anomaly, or other serious outcomes.

and the lowest number in children <16 years of age (n=21). Age was listed as unknown or missing in 51.1% of reports (table II).

As expected due to industry reporting requirements, the majority of reports (n=8202) noted one or more serious outcomes. Hospitalization was the most frequently reported outcome (n=6496), followed by death (n=1980), lifethreatening event (n=932), disability (n=353), required intervention to prevent permanent impairment/damage (n=297) and congenital anomaly (n=1). Oxaliplatin was the most commonly reported drug with bevacizumab (27%) [table II].

Data Mining and Clinical Review

Using data mining algorithms to identify PTs that were reported in combination with the drug bevacizumab more often than expected, a total of 523 PTs (17.7% of a total 2760 PTs contained in bevacizumab reports) were identified. Based upon our clinical review and application of the study exclusions, 63 PTs (12% of the disproportionately reported PTs) were determined to be novel and clinically relevant. Bevacizumab was reported as a suspect drug in 94% of these reports. The remaining analysis was limited to AE reports that contained one or more of these 63 PTs.

The value of the PRR indicates how many times more often bevacizumab is reported with that PT than would be expected by random chance. The PRR for these 63 PTs ranged from 2.0 (the lower cut-off point defining statistical significance) for the PT 'pulse absent' to 20.88 for the PT 'duodenal obstruction'. An additional four PTs related to bowel obstruction had PRR greater than 10: 'small intestinal obstruction' (PRR = 15.95), 'obstruction gastric' (PRR = 14.31), 'colonic obstruction' (PRR = 15.2) and 'large intestinal obstruction' (PRR = 13.1).

Because there is no limit to the number of PTs that can be coded on a single case report, and with the high level of specificity in the MedDRA®

coding scheme, AE reports sometimes contain multiple PTs that describe the same clinical disorder. For example, both a general and a more specific term were noted together on one AE report (e.g. 'aneurysm ruptured' and 'aortic aneurysm rupture') and similarly when both a clinical disorder and the diagnostic laboratory findings were recorded (e.g. 'cardiomyopathy' and 'brain natriuretic peptide increased'). Therefore, AE cases were grouped into 15 clinical disorders by combining those PTs that described the same or similar, but pathologically related, clinical conditions.

The largest grouping of PTs was cardiovascular disorders; 22 PTs (33.3% of disproportionately reported PTs) were grouped into five clinical disorders: cardiomyopathic disorders, arrhythmia and conduction disorders, vessel wall disorders, sudden cardiac death and capillary leak syndrome. Among the clinical disorders, electrolyte abnormalities had the greatest number of reports (n=426) followed by cardiovascular events (n=421), gastrointestinal events (n=345), nervous system disorders (n=106), pneumonitis (n=96), hepatic disorder (n=82), autoimmune disorders (n=83), thrombocyte count disorders (n=34), necrotizing fasciitis (n=22) and urinary disorders (n=16) [table III].

Bevacizumab was listed as a suspect drug (primary or secondary) in the majority of reports within each clinical disorder, ranging from 88.0%

Table III. Characteristics of reports according to clinical disorder

Clinical disorder	No. of reports	Bevacizumab as suspect (%)	Sex (%)		Age group [y] (%)			Outcome
			Males	Females	<30	31–75	>75	[patient died] (%)
Bowel obstruction	345	94.5	53.0	43.8	0.6	52.5	2.3	20.0
Hepatic disorder	82	92.7	40.2	56.0	1.2	51.2	1.2	19.5
Cardiomyopathic disorders	149	94.6	34.2	60.4	0.0	55.7	4.7	12.8
Arrhythmia and conduction disorders	109	96.3	50.5	42.2	0.0	48.6	10.1	17.4
Vessel wall disorders	35	97.1	62.9	34.3	0.0	54.3	8.6	37.1
Sudden cardiac death	117	97.0	62.0	35.0	3.2	48.4	9.0	95.0
Capillary leak syndrome	11	100.0	45.5	54.5	0.0	36.4	0.9	45.5
Necrotizing fasciitis	22	100.0	59.1	22.7	0.0	45.5	0.0	13.6
Urinary disorders	16	100.0	56.3	12.5	0.0	31.3	0.0	25.0
Electrolyte abnormality	426	93.2	46.0	49.8	0.0	57.3	10.6	18.1
Autoimmune thrombocytopenia	83	90.4	40.9	46.9	1.2	51.8	2.4	13.3
Thrombocyte count disorder	34	100.0	61.8	38.2	0.0	76.5	5.9	20.5
Neurological disorder	106	94.3	48.1	46.2	0.0	46.2	0.0	22.6
Pneumonitis	96	97.9	58.3	37.5	0.0	39.6	3.1	26.0

for peripheral neuropathy reports to 100% of reports in the following categories: capillary leak syndrome, necrotizing fasciitis and urinary disorders (table III). Death rates varied considerably across categories, with the lowest and highest percentage of death reports among autoimmune thrombocytopenia clinical disorder (13.3%) and sudden cardiac arrest clinical disorder (95.0%), respectively. There was also variation in the distribution of males and females. Cardiomyopathic disorders had proportionally more reports involving female patients (60.4%), while male patients were more frequently included in reports of vessel wall disorders (62.9%) and sudden cardiac arrest (62.0%).

A number of cardiovascular AEs were disproportionately reported for which bevacizumab was the suspect drug in the majority of case reports (>95%). All parts and cell types of the cardiovascular system, including myocardium, pericardium, cardiac conductive system and large vessels were involved. There were a total of 293 case reports of myocardial dysfunction, aortic vessel wall disorders and arrhythmic disorders in our study (table III).

On conducting sensitivity analysis by limiting the background to chemotherapy agents used for colorectal cancer, 54% of the PTs (34) from the full analysis continued to meet the statistical significance criteria for disproportional reporting. While this would seem to be a major loss of information, at least one or more PTs from each of the identified clinical disorders, except for the clinical disorder pneumonitis, remained statistically significant (table I).

Discussion

Data mining is used by regulatory agencies and increasingly in the pharmaceutical industry to screen large databases and nominate drug-AE pairs for further clinical review. Using data mining techniques to identify previously unknown AEs of marketed medications, we identified a number of novel AEs that were disproportionately reported and potentially associated with the use of bevacizumab. In addition to identifying novel AEs, our analysis generated statistical alerts for a number of previously established AEs of bev-

acizumab, including gastrointestinal fistulae, hypertensive crisis and proteinuria (as mentioned in the Clinical Review section). In the majority of AE reports that listed bevacizumab, it was identified as a suspect drug by the reporter.

The data mining algorithms, when applied to spontaneous reports of AEs, can identify events that are disproportionally reported for a particular drug. However, they cannot establish a causal relationship between the drug and an AE. During the clinical review, prior knowledge and potential mechanisms are integrated to determine if data mining identified a clinical signal that may need to be further investigated. Despite the limitations of data mining in spontaneous reports, we found clinical case reports and animal studies^[9-12] in the literature regarding a number of AEs that data mining had identified (interstitial lung disease [ILD], necrotizing fasciitis, hepatic toxicity, and autoimmune thrombocytopenia), suggesting some rationale for further investigation of a possible causal link with bevacizumab.

VEGF plays a role in the maintenance of vascular integrity and normal function in noncancerous tissues. VEGF also promotes cancerassociated angiogenesis, which is an important and essential step in progression and survival of cancer cells.[13-15] There is some evidence in the literature about single nucleotide polymorphisms in the VEGF gene which might be responsible for the variable efficacy and safety profile of bevacizumab in different patients.[16] Inhibition of VEGF receptors in normal cells during the course of chemotherapy may cause or contribute to the development of many of the AEs that were identified in our analysis, particularly those affecting the cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, blood and immune systems.

The premarketing clinical trials, as well as other studies, showed that VEGF inhibition is associated with more detrimental effects on left ventricular function compared with other chemotherapeutic agents.^[1,17] On the other hand, VEGF delayed onset of failure in pressure overload hypertrophied heart.^[18] Production and release of nitric oxide (NO) in the endothelium and coronary circulation in heart failure is decreased by VEGF inhibition.^[19,20] Conversely, some fa-

vourable effects of VEGF are mediated by its ability to stimulate endothelial NO release and production. [21-25] Therefore, it is possible that VEGF inhibition may cause abnormal NO production, endothelial dysfunction and/or microvascular rarefactions in cardiovascular tissues. These deleterious effects on the cardiac vasculature may cause ischaemia, which subsequently can lead to cardiac rhythm abnormalities through lowering the threshold for the generation of arrhythmia, and contractile dysfunction. [26,27]

AEs related to large vessel involvement, such as a rtic dissection and aneurysms, were detected in our analysis. These relatively rare and lifethreatening AEs have not been reported in bevacizumab premarketing clinical trials. However, currently, several active clinical trials of bevacizumab specifically exclude patients with a history of aortic aneurysm and/or dissection. [28,29] Lee et al.[30] suggested that VEGF may play an important role in the development of angiopathy, through upregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) gene expression in aortic endothelial cells by a protein kinase C-dependent pathway. VEGF inhibitor-induced hypertension may also indirectly predispose the impaired endothelial layers of vessel wall to dissection by an increased shear force within the vessel wall.^[31] This is thought to be a result of the capillary rarefaction and alteration in endothelial function throughout the systemic vascular network. [31,32]

A number of gastrointestinal AEs were also found in our analysis, including a number of hepatic disorders. Recently, cases of VEGF inhibitor-induced hepatic toxicity have appeared in the medical literature. [9] VEGF has been shown to play an essential role in the hepatocyte regeneration. [33,34] Following hepatic injury, VEGF production is increased and its receptors are upregulated in the liver; thus, inhibition of VEGF may potentially make the liver more vulnerable to the hepatotoxic effects of other chemotherapeutic agents by reducing its regenerative capacity. VEGF inhibitors may directly cause hepatotoxicity or indirectly induce ischaemic hepatic injury through microcirculation rarefaction or hepatic vessel thrombosis formation.^[29,30,35] In the gastrointestinal system analysis, gastrointestinal obstruction also emerged as a potential adverse effect among bevacizumab-exposed cases. Despite the fact that many patients, especially those with advance colorectal cancer, might develop gastrointestinal obstruction either due to the physical expansion of the tumour, usage of opioids or post-surgical complications, bevacizumab was reported as the suspect drug in 94.5% of our cases. Six of the eight bowel obstruction PTs were statistically significant on sensitivity analysis, which was designed to reduce the potential events related to colorectal cancer.

Endothelial dysfunction-induced thrombosis and thrombocytopenia are known AEs of bevacizumab in premarketing trials. [36] However, autoimmune thrombocytopenia has not been reported in association with bevacizumab in the medical literature. A hypothesis proposed by Meyer et al. [10] suggested that the combination of heparin (used to flush the access ports for infusion of chemotherapeutic agents) with bevacizumab and VEGF can form an immune complex and induce platelet aggregation and thrombosis. The authors proposed that this immune complex activates the FcγRIIa receptor through a mechanism similar to that of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia.

An increased incidence of infections was reported in the early premarketing trials.^[9] Necrotizing fasciitis, which is a rare and life-threatening disease, was reported for 22 bevacizumab-exposed cases. This is in congruence with a clinical case report recently published in the medical literature.^[11] Given the seriousness of this AE, further investigation of this finding may be warranted.

The PT ILD was statistically significant against the background of all FDA drugs, but on sensitivity analysis this PT was no longer statistically significant. Chemotherapy-induced ILD is known to occur with FOLFOX (oxaliplatin, 5-fluorouracil [5-FU], leucovorin) and FOLFIRI (folinic acid, fluouracil [5-FU], irinotecan) regimens for metastatic colorectal cancer. Usui et al. [12] reported four cases of ILD among colorectal cancer patients who were treated with bevacizumab and with FOLFOX/FOLFIRI. Among the 96 cases of ILD reported to the FDA for which bevacizumab was mentioned, the following drugs

were reported concomitantly: fluorouracil (61%), oxalaplatin (52%), leucovorin (43%) and irinotecan (22%).

The indications for bevacizumab have expanded to more cancers since its initial market approval for the treatment of advanced colon cancer in 2004. The FDA recommended the removal of the breast cancer indication based on its review of clinical trials data in December 2010: however this decision did not impact its use for other cancer indications (colon, kidney, brain and lung cancers). We identified a number of potentially serious AEs, a number of which may be related to its mechanism of action. Importantly, if confirmed they are indeed causally related to bevacizumab, some of these events may be predictable, and possibly preventable, through informed patient selection and increased monitoring of high-risk patients. Given the cardiotoxic potential of bevacizumab, we recommend that preventive measures such as cardiac investigations be considered in patients with preexisting medical conditions prior to bevacizumab therapy, as well as ongoing monitoring during extended therapy.

Our study has several limitations, chiefly, that data mining of spontaneous reports only identifies AEs that are disproportionally reported and, as such, do not prove a causal relationship. Our analysis suffers from biases inherent in spontaneous AEs, such as underreporting of AEs in general, overreporting of particular events, and wide variations in data quality. Despite these limitations, the AERS database has been found useful in making safety-related changes for drugs, undertaking active surveillance studies and for enabling risk minimization strategies by the FDA.^[37] The FDA acknowledges that data mining results do not establish causal relationship between drug and event and should be complemented by other safety signal detection tools. Signal detection results should be put into perspective by information from estimates from other well conducted pharmacoepidemiological studies and information of exposure from drug utilization databases.^[38] All findings reported here were reviewed for both clinical significance and novelty, which may eliminate some events that may be disproportionately reported due to random error or their known association with other chemotherapies or with cancer. Conversely, we may have been unable to detect some AEs that may be caused by bevacizumab because they are common among patients with cancers typically treated with bevacizumab, or they may not be recognized by the treating physician as potentially related to therapy. Despite such limitations, we identified a number of clinically important AEs that should be interpreted within the context of other safety data for bevacizumab.

Conclusions

Postmarketing studies help in identifying potential safety issues. Our postmarketing analysis identified a number of clinically relevant AEs disproportionally reported for bevacizumab for which further investigation may be warranted. If confirmed, the findings from this study would have potential implications for the use of bevacizumab and patient management in clinical practice. Healthcare providers should be vigilant about the possibility of encountering serious AEs identified in this analysis and should report them to the regulatory authorities.

Acknowledgements

QScan® software is provided in donation to Center for Drug Safety, University of Maryland, School of Pharmacy, Baltimore, MD, USA, by DrugLogic®, Inc, Reston, VA, USA.

No sources of funding were used to conduct this study or prepare this manuscript. Dr Weiss Smith serves on the advisory board of DrugLogic® Inc., whose software was used in the analysis of these data. All other authors have no conflicts of interest that are directly relevant to the content of this study.

References

- US FDA. Bevacizumab label: U.S. BL 125085/169 Amendment: Bevacizumab, Genentech, Inc. 2009 [online]. Available from URL: http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2009/125085s0169lbl.pdf [Accessed 2012 Apr 30]
- Fung AE, Rosenfeld PJ, Reichel E. The International Intravitreal Bevacizumab Safety Survey: using the internet to assess drug safety worldwide. Br J Ophthalmol 2006 Nov; 90 (11): 1344-9
- 3. Garg S, Brod R, Kim D, et al. Retinal pigment epithelial tears after intravitreal bevacizumab injection for exudative

- age-related macular degeneration. Clin Experiment Ophthalmol 2008 Apr; 36 (3): 252-6
- US FDA. New molecular entity postmarketing safety evaluation pilot program final report [online]. Available from URL: http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/Postmarket DrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm185252. htm [Accessed 2012 Apr 30]
- MedDRA. Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities [online]. Available from URL: http://www.meddramsso. com/public_about_meddra.asp [Accessed 2012 Apr 30]
- Deshpande G, Gogolak V, Weiss Smith SR. Data mining in drug safety review of published threshold criteria for defining signals of disproportionate reporting. Pharm Med 2010; 24: 37-43
- Wong LJ, Desai RU, Jain A, et al. Surveillance for potential adverse events associated with the use of intravitreal bevacizumab for retinal and choroidal vascular disease. Retina 2008 Oct; 28 (8): 1151-8
- Microsoft Excel[®]. 2007 ed. Redmond (WA): Microsoft Corporation, 2007
- Brown-Glaberman U, Swart R, Dragovich T, et al. Hepatic injury associated with bevacizumab use in metastatic breast and colon cancers: a review of two cases. Commun Oncol 2008; 5: 539-42
- Meyer T, Robles-Carrillo L, Robson T, et al. Bevacizumab immune complexes activate platelets and induce thrombosis in FCGR2A transgenic mice. J Thromb Haemost 2009 Jan; 7 (1): 171-81
- Gamboa EO, Rehmus EH, Haller N. Fournier's gangrene as a possible side effect of bevacizumab therapy for resected colorectal cancer. Clin Colorectal Cancer 2010 Jan; 9 (1): 55.8
- Usui K, Katou Y, Furushima K, et al. Interstitial lung disease during chemotherapy combined with oxaliplatin and/or bevacizumab in advanced colorectal cancer patients. Jpn J Clin Oncol 2011 Apr; 41 (4): 498-502
- Graham CH, Rivers J, Kerbel RS, et al. Extent of vascularization as a prognostic indicator in thin (<0.76 mm) malignant melanomas. Am J Pathol 1994 Sep; 145 (3): 510-4
- Claffey KP, Robinson GS. Regulation of VEGF/VPF expression in tumor cells: consequences for tumor growth and metastasis. Cancer Metastasis Rev 1996 Jun; 15 (2): 165-76
- Zhang HT, Craft P, Scott PA, et al. Enhancement of tumor growth and vascular density by transfection of vascular endothelial cell growth factor into MCF-7 human breast carcinoma cells. J Natl Cancer Inst 1995 Feb 1; 87 (3): 213-9
- Loupakis F, Ruzzo A, Salvatore L, et al. Retrospective exploratory analysis of VEGF polymorphisms in the prediction of benefit from first-line FOLFIRI plus bevacizumab in metastatic colorectal cancer. BMC Cancer 2011; 11: 247
- Motzer RJ, Hutson TE, Tomczak P, et al. Sunitinib versus interferon alfa in metastatic renal-cell carcinoma. N Engl J Med 2007 Jan 11; 356 (2): 115-24
- Friehs I, Margossian RE, Moran AM, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor delays onset of failure in pressure-overload hypertrophy through matrix metalloproteinase activation and angiogenesis. Basic Res Cardiol 2006 May; 101 (3): 204-13

- Cho DH, Choi YJ, Jo SA, et al. Nitric oxide production and regulation of endothelial nitric-oxide synthase phosphorylation by prolonged treatment with troglitazone: evidence for involvement of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) gamma-dependent and PPARgammaindependent signaling pathways. J Biol Chem 2004 Jan 23; 279 (4): 2499-506
- White AJ, LaGerche A, Toner GC, et al. Apical ballooning syndrome during treatment with a vascular endothelial growth factor receptor antagonist. Int J Cardiol 2009 Jan 24; 131 (3): e92-4
- Murohara T, Asahara T, Silver M, et al. Nitric oxide synthase modulates angiogenesis in response to tissue ischemia. J Clin Invest 1998 Jun 1; 101 (11): 2567-78
- Papapetropoulos A, Garcia-Cardena G, Madri JA, et al. Nitric oxide production contributes to the angiogenic properties of vascular endothelial growth factor in human endothelial cells. J Clin Invest 1997 Dec 15; 100 (12): 3131-9
- Shen BQ, Lee DY, Zioncheck TF. Vascular endothelial growth factor governs endothelial nitric-oxide synthase expression via a KDR/Flk-1 receptor and a protein kinase C signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 1999 Nov 12; 274 (46): 33057-63
- Ziche M, Morbidelli L, Choudhuri R, et al. Nitric oxide synthase lies downstream from vascular endothelial growth factor-induced but not basic fibroblast growth factorinduced angiogenesis. J Clin Invest 1997 Jun 1; 99 (11): 2625-34
- Rastaldo R, Pagliaro P, Cappello S, et al. Nitric oxide and cardiac function. Life Sci 2007 Aug 16; 81 (10): 779-93
- Kubota I, Han X, Opel DJ, et al. Increased susceptibility to development of triggered activity in myocytes from mice with targeted disruption of endothelial nitric oxide synthase. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2000 Jul; 32 (7): 1239-48
- Levy BI, Ambrosio G, Pries AR, et al. Microcirculation in hypertension: a new target for treatment? Circulation 2001 Aug 7; 104 (6): 735-40
- 28. Genentech, Weill Medical College of Cornell University. Pre-operative chemotherapy plus bevacizumab with early salvage therapy based on PET assessment of response in patients with locally advanced but resectable gastric and GEJ adenocarcinoma [ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT 00737438]. US National Institutes of Health, ClinicalTrials.gov [online]. Available from URL: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00737438?term=NCT00737438&rank=1 [Accessed 2012 Apr 30]
- Genentech, Eisai Inc. Gliadel, XRT, Temodar, Avastin followed by Avastin, Temodar for newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) [ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01186406. US National Institutes of health, ClinicalTrials.gov [online] Available from URL: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01186406?term=NCT01186406&rank=1 [Accessed 2012 Apr 30]
- Lee SH, Kim JG, Park JY, et al. Effect and mechanism of vascular endothelial growth factor on endothelial nitric oxide synthase expression in aortic endothelial cells. J Korean Diabetes Assoc 2002; 26 (5): 396-404
- Mourad JJ, Le Jeune S. Blood pressure control, risk factors and cardiovascular prognosis in patients with diabetes: 30 years of progress. J Hypertens Suppl 2008 Sep; 26 (3): S7-13

32. Vogt BA, Birk PE, Panzarino V, et al. Aortic dissection in young patients with chronic hypertension. Am J Kidney Dis 1999 Feb; 33 (2): 374-8

- 33. Shimizu H, Miyazaki M, Wakabayashi Y, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factor secreted by replicating hepatocytes induces sinusoidal endothelial cell proliferation during regeneration after partial hepatectomy in rats. J Hepatol 2001 May; 34 (5): 683-9
- Reynaert H, Chavez M, Geerts A. Vascular endothelial growth factor and liver regeneration. J Hepatol 2001 May; 34 (5): 759-61
- Scappaticci FA, Skillings JR, Holden SN, et al. Arterial thromboembolic events in patients with metastatic carcinoma treated with chemotherapy and bevacizumab. J Natl Cancer Inst 2007 Aug 15; 99 (16): 1232-9
- 36. Hurwitz H, Fehrenbacher L, Novotny W, et al. Bevacizumab plus irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin for

- metastatic colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2004 Jun 3; 350 (23): 2335-42
- Wysowski DK, Swartz L. Adverse drug event surveillance and drug withdrawals in the United States, 1969-2002: the importance of reporting suspected reactions. Arch Intern Med 2005 Jun 27; 165 (12): 1363-9
- US FDA. Guidance for industry. Good Pharmacovigilance Practices and Pharmacoepidemiologic Assessment. March 2005 [online]. Available from URL: Guidance for industry Good Pharmacovigilance Practices and Pharmacoepidemiologic Assessment [Accessed 2012 Apr 17]

Correspondence: Dr Sheila Weiss Smith, Center for Drug Safety, University of Maryland School of Pharmacy, 220 Arch Street, 12th floor, Baltimore, MD 21201, USA. E-mail: sweiss@rx.umaryland.edu